apparatus themselves. I think we have moved forward, and both — Chief Hunter especially is down here constantly looking for ways to buy equipment and added resources for these firefighters not only to, you know, fight a fire, but also in the training process, which is a daily ongoing item. And I think we have addressed those needs.

- Q. Okay. So I'm clear on this, in this meeting that you had with Mr. Malone from the IAFF, and Mr. Duty and Mr. Davis from the local labor association of firefighters, you recall that one of the items that they addressed with you at that meeting involved fire equipment on the subject of safety of the firefighters and, in particular, I guess you recall their expressing concerns about the self contained breathing apparatus; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Anything else that you can remember off the top of your head of the issues they raised of concern?
- A. A separate meeting came about that dealt with their swap time. And Chief Prater came to me shortly after I returned from active duty concerning swap time. The swap time was removed. The problem we were having with swap time was more of an

accounting/insurance issue than anything more so.

For instance, we had one firefighter — and I do not remember his name — was injured or was sick when he was actually pulling swap time for someone else and workers' comp wouldn't pay the claim. So, you know, we had to work some procedures out. And Chief Hunter aggressively worked on that ever since he was appointed chief. And when Assistant Chief Waters came on board, they, along with the personnel director and the insurance side of the house, felt that they had it in line to where we could put the swap time back in.

- Q. Okay. Any other issues or have I exhausted your memory that were raised by Davis, Duty, and Malone at your meeting?
 - A. The staffing issue.
 - Q. What was that about that they raised?
- A. You know, everybody would like to go by NFPA standards of -- what is it -- 2010 or 2008? Whichever it is.
 - Q. 1710.
 - A. It's 1710, 1708.
- Q. Two in, two out policy?
- 24 A. Sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. Two in, two out policy?

Causey Peterson Reporting, Inc. Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111 A. Yes, sir. However, most cities — city governments and most managers in these United States are against it. And if you talk about it in a city managers' meeting, you see that they're not against it, per se, for fire safety; it's the cost that it costs the city to go into it. We cannot afford staffing like that.

But we have increased staffing, and I think that that's one of the main things. Not only the fire department. We have increased staffing in the police department as well. So, you know, I try to keep a equal balance between those two. So I do think we have tried to address those issues.

- Q. That was an issue that was also raised by Mr. Davis, Mr. Duty, and Mr. Malone with you at the meeting?
 - A. That's right.

- Q. Any other issues that you haven't already mentioned that they raised at that meeting?
- A. Morale. But I don't -- I think morale right now is going real good. It appears to be.
 - Q. But they raised the concerns?
- A. They talked about morale. You know, as a manager, you know, you can talk to your department heads. But, you know, your morale in a group of

men, whether it be, you know, with a infantry firing squad or whether it be with a fire department, any paramilitary organization, your front line supervisors control. They control the morale of the men.

And, usually, morale is high when you have good intensive training and they're occupied. And it's not necessarily that you give them everything they want to keep good morale, but you give them the necessary tools to do their job. And I think that that portion has been addressed now. That's about all that I know.

(Mr. McKoon entered the deposition room.)

- Q. But you do recall that Davis and Duty and Mr. Malone raised the issue of employee morale in the fire department at this meeting that you have been describing?
 - A. I do.

- Q. We've talked about five or six items that they raised. Anything else you can remember they addressed at the meeting?
 - A. Not off the top of my head, I can't.
- Q. Fair enough. And was it your understanding -- you may have testified to this already -- that those three gentlemen -- Davis, Duty

and Mr. Malone -- were wanting to meet with you to address these issues in their role as or in their capacity as labor association representatives?

- A. Yes, sir. But I also believe this. It may be in their role, but I also know they are Phenix City Firefighters 24 hours a day, 7 days a week in my opinion.
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you mean.
- A. I know they were wanting to address me as a labor organization, but I also know they are employees and employees as firefighters, which I think are 7-day-a-week, 24-hour-a-day jobs.
- Q. Yes. Do you recall if Mr. Davis and Mr. Duty were on their shift schedule or off duty when they had the meeting with you?
- A. I don't know about Mr. Davis, Sergeant Davis here. But I do know that Mr. Duty was not employed by the city at that time.
 - Q. At that time he was gone?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.4

Q. Okay. Now either during or shortly after that meeting, particularly involving Mr. Davis, did you consider that he was violating the chain of command or the Merit System rules and regulations

1 when he asked to meet with you for that almost an hour meeting and address these issues? Well, it went through Chief Prater and I 3 agreed to it. 4 So earlier he got permission, Mr. Davis 5 0. did, to meet with you? 6 And I believe Chief Prater talked with me 7 and then I believe Malone called me to get the 8 9 proper sequence. I don't remember the exact sequence. 10 11 Q. Let me invite your attention --Α. But I did not ask the chief to come over 12 13 here to the meeting. You did not? 0. 14 But I think I instructed him, once we 15 Α. left here, to take their complaints to the chief. I 16 felt like that was --17 Was there a reason why you did not --18 Q. 19 THE REPORTER: Whoa, whoa, whoa. I didn't hear the end of your answer. 20 Α. I felt like it was the chief's job, Chief 21 22 Prater, to handle the complaint, see if he could 23 handle it prior to it coming back to me. 24 Q. Did you give consideration to inviting 25 Chief Prater to come over and participate in this

meeting that we've been describing? 1 I did, but I chose not to. Α. 2 0. Why was that? 3 I felt like I wanted to hear the complaint Α. 4 myself and keep an open view, so to speak. 5 6 Q. Keep a what? An open view. 7 Α. Let me invite your attention, Mr. Roberts, 8 Q. to Exhibit 11 in front of you. This is a memorandum 9 from David Davis in his capacity as vice-president 10 at the time of Local 3668, the Phenix City 11 12 Firefighters Association, dated January 25, 2005, and addressed to Chief Jerry Prater. Have you seen 13 this document before today? 14 Α. I have. 15 Was this the so-called laundry list that 16 0. you described earlier that was given to you either 17 before or during the meeting you had with Davis, 18 Duty, and Malone? 19 20 Α. It is. Some of these issues you've already 21 In your one-hour meeting, were they able 22 addressed. 23 to go right down each and every item and cover all of them? 24

25

I don't think they did. I think they went

over a synopsis of the group.

- Q. And at the end of the meeting, did you suggest to these three gentlemen -- Davis, Duty and Malone -- that they follow up directly with Chief Prater?
- A. I think I asked for Sergeant Davis to talk with Chief Prater.
- Q. And were you contemplating at the time that Davis would talk to Chief Prater again in his capacity as a local union representative?
- A. Either/or. It didn't matter to me which. I think either one of them, whether he be a local member or whether he be any other firefighter, if he's got some concerns, then I think he needs to bring it through the chain and let the chief handle it.
- Q. Now, did you expect or instruct Mr. Davis to go to his immediate officer, perhaps his captain, and up the chain of command before he --
- A. Not to my knowledge. I don't remember doing that.
- Q. So you suggested Mr. Davis could go directly to Chief Prater?
- A. I emphatically said that he needed to take this up with Chief Prater.

[4] : [5] : [4] :
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me invite your
attention to Exhibit 12, which appears to be a
letter from Thomas Malone as a field service
representative of the IAFF dated March 7, 2005,
addressed to yourself. Do you recall having
received this letter from Mr. Malone?
A. I do.
Q. And, again, like with all these documents,
if you want to take a moment to completely read it,
just let me know that you want to do that.
A. I would like to do that and brief myself.
Q. Sure.
MR. GRAHAM: Let's go off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
MR. WOODLEY: Back on the record.
Q. Exhibit 12, you've had a chance now, Mr.
Roberts, to read to yourself this letter Mr. Malone
sent to you on March 7, 2005; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he's describing a meeting that
apparently he and Davis had with Chief Prater; is
that correct?

between Sergeant Davis and Chief Prater.

Who?

Q.

It's my understanding that it's a meeting

1	A. Sergeant Davis and	Chief Prater. I don't
•	[[양 경영관 : 회장교원조[] . 하는 - 항원호, 회장생기도	
2	believe Mr. Malone was prese	ent.

- Q. Okay. And would this have been the follow-up meeting that you were suggesting to Davis and Duty and Malone that they follow up and meet with Prater?
- A. I would assume that it would be, due to the date on the letter.
- Q. Now, obviously, Mr. Malone is expressing his concerns that it was not a productive meeting that was held involving Chief Prater and David Davis and himself, Mr. Malone. And he mentions to you in his letter that they felt like there were threats and intimidation and you see where it says all of that?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Did you take any follow-up action when you received this letter and the concerns expressed about it?
- A. I talked with Chief Prater about the letter.
 - Q. What did you discuss with him?
- A. He expressed that he had talked with Mr. Davis about the request and basically that was it, to a degree. And by that, I mean we didn't go

indepth as to his answers.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Malone indicates that the meeting that he had with the chief and, apparently, also Personnel Director Goodwin lasted only about 10 minutes. Was that your understanding?
 - A. That's my understanding of his letter, yes.
- \mathbb{Q} . That was a lot less than the length of time that you met with him?
 - A. If the timing is right, it is less.
- Q. Do you think that may be a bit brief to have a constructive meeting addressing issues and concerns?
- A. Not being in the tone of the meeting, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't think it was enough time. But according to Mr. Malone's letter, I don't know that it may have been a good meeting. Appears to have been a bad meeting as I stated earlier.
- Q. And did Chief Prater report back to you about the nature of the meeting he had on the subject?
- A. As I stated earlier, it was my understanding it was not a good meeting.
- Q. And that's what Prater reported back to you?
 - A. Basically, yes.

Q. Do you know if any	other follow-up meetings
were scheduled?	

- A. Not to my knowledge that he did.
- Q. That was pretty much the end of the matter?
- $_{
 m A.}$ As far as I know, that was as far as it went at that time.
- Q. Okay. Turn now to Exhibit 13, which appears to be a letter from yourself addressed back to Mr. Malone dated March 15, 2005. I take it that's your signature at the bottom of the letter?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

- Q. And then you indicated that you were responding to Mr. Malone, and you also state that the city does not recognize your union and is not required by law to do so.
- A. To bargain with. To bargain, collectively bargain with.
- Q. It does say recognize. Is there a difference between recognize and then you go on to say will not enter into negotiations with the union?
- A. We're not going to enter into negotiations as to salary or anything. I think the Alabama law is pretty explicit that we have to recognize the union body as a local, and they can talk to us on the issues as stated in state law, such as safety,

manning, and things of that nature.

- Q. Was it your understanding, again just so it's clear in my mind, that when Mr. Davis was sitting down with Mr. Malone and meeting with Chief Jerry Prater, that Mr. Davis was meeting in his capacity as a local firefighters' association representative or officer?
- A. Let me back up. I don't think Mr. Malone was at this meeting with Mr. Davis and Chief Prater, the way I understand it. Now, did he get them on duty and talk with them? Yes, sir. I think that you can be an officer in the labor organization of the International Association of Firefighters and you can still talk about the same issues that we're discussing the state law whether you're on or off duty.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. You know, with the chief, that's --
- Q. Fair enough. Now, at the end of your letter to Mr. Malone, which again is Exhibit 13, you indicate you would be glad to do a follow-up meeting on these various issues. Was such a meeting ever held?
- A. I never heard from Mr. Malone for another meeting.

 $_{1}$ Q. All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. That I can recall. Let me add that.
- Q. Have you ever had occasion where a city employee has come to you directly as a city manager and expressed concerns about issues that involve the individual's employment or department?
 - A. Yes, sir, I have.
 - O. More than once?
 - A. Yes, sir, I have.
- Q. Do you have an open door policy where city employees can come in and talk to you?
- A. Not so much as that. I like for them to go through their chain. I believe there's a chain of command, and I am military, so but anytime they'll stop me on the yard or something and ask me a question, I do my best to answer it in a good answer. But I'll also tell them they need to take that up to their department head. The reason for that is if it deals with the Merit System, as happened in this case, I'm the one that has to sit in on the review board. And rather than make a call, I would rather hear everything at one time.
- Q. Can you recall occasions where a firefighter working for the city's fire department has talked to you about issues affecting the

1	department?	
2	A. I have.	
3	Q. And who would that have been?	
4	A. I've had several of them.	
5	Q. Who were they?	
6	A. I've talked as much as lately to not	
7	lately. When I was in the guard. Gosh. I can't	
8	think of his name right now. He's a captain today.	
9	But I've talked with several firefighters.	
10	Q. Were you a city manager at the time?	
11	A. Yes, sir. Also was commanding officer in	
12	the National Guard.	
13	Q. You can't remember the individual's name	
14	though?	
15	A. Yes. I'll think of it in just a second.	
16	If you want to go off the record, I'll find out	
17	right quick.	
18	Q. Sure. We can go off the record.	
19	(Discussion held off the record.)	
20	MR. WOODLEY: Let's go back on the record.	
21	Q. You remember the individual firefighter's	
22	name that came to you about it?	
23	A. I do now. Carl Taylorson.	
24	Q. How long ago was that roughly?	
25	A. It would have to have been around two years	